

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

WAYMO LLC,

Plaintiff,

vs.

UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.;
OTTOMOTTO LLC; OTTO TRUCKING
LLC,

Defendants.

CASE NO. 3:17-cv-00939-WHA

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
PLAINTIFF WAYMO LLC'S
ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO FILE
UNDER SEAL ITS REPLY TO
DEFENDANTS' MOTION RE
DILIGENCED EMPLOYEES'
PRIVILEGE WAIVER**

1 Plaintiff Waymo LLC (“Waymo”) has filed an Administrative Motion to File Under Seal
 2 (“Waymo’s Administrative Motion”) certain information in its reply brief to Defendants' motion
 3 regarding Diligenced Employees' waiver of privilege (Waymo’s Reply”).

4 Having considered Waymo’s Administrative Motion, and good cause to seal having been
 5 shown, the Court **GRANTS** Waymo’s Administrative Motion and **ORDERS** sealed the
 6 documents listed below:

| Document | Portions to Be Filed Under Seal |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Waymo’s Reply | Highlighted in blue |
| Exhibits 1-3 to Waymo's Reply | Entire document |

10 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

11 Dated: _____, 2017

12 _____
 13 JACQUELINE SCOTT CORLEY
 14 United States Magistrate Judge
 15
 16
 17
 18
 19
 20
 21
 22
 23
 24
 25
 26
 27
 28